


Judul Artikel: Exploring Indonesian nurses; perspectives on preparing parents of preterm infants for hospital discharge:

Jurnal bereputasi (Scopus Q2)

Peran: penulis pertama dan penulis korespondensi

Komentar dari Reviewer :

Bukti korespondensi belum memuat detail komentar dan respon dari penulis secara adekuat. Mohon diperbaiki.



Decision on submission to Journal of Neonatal Nursing

JNEO -em@editorialmanager.com
to me

Manuscript Number: JNEO-D-21-00021

EXPLORING INDONESIAN NURSES' PERSPECTIVES ON PREPARING PARENTS OF PRETERM INFANTS FOR HOSPITAL DISCHARGE: A QUALITATIVE STUDY

Dear Dr. Hariati,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Journal of Neonatal Nursing.

I have completed my evaluation of your manuscript. The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your manuscript following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing the comments below. Please resubmit your revised manuscript by Mar 28, 2021.

When revising your manuscript, please consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments carefully; please outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. Please note that your revised submission may need to be re-reviewed.

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/jneo/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Journal of Neonatal Nursing values your contribution and I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Kind regards,

Leslie Atimer
Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Neonatal Nursing

Editor and Reviewer comments:

Reviewer #1: This is an important topic in every NICU. Great job addressing the need for early discharge preparation. I suggest looking into family-centered care for discharge preparation and readiness.

Reviewer #2: The focus of the study was worthy of investigation. However, the manuscript would be strengthened if the following areas (below) were addressed.

- It is not clear what kind of interviews were conducted - semi-structured or in-depth? The second and the third theme sound very vague.
- Language and many grammatical issues throughout the manuscript, proof reading is needed.
- Page 2, second paragraph - unclear meanings - what is 'systematic review research'? What is 'calm environment'? Uncoordinated program? "Uneven" competencies?
- How is the 'limited medical equipment' related to discharge teaching?
- Section 2.1. Design: sentences are too wordy and unclear. The study does not 'provide a framework'
- Page 3-4: grammatical issues in 'hospital' and participants descriptions. What is 'basic NICU training'? What was the total number of nurses interviewed?
- Section 2.3: What were the interview questions?
- An example of meaningful statements/coding/themes would be helpful (i.e. in small table format)

Decision on submission to Journal of Neonatal Nursing

JNEO -em@editorialmanager.com
to me

Manuscript Number: JNEO-D-21-00021R1

EXPLORING INDONESIAN NURSES' PERSPECTIVES ON PREPARING PARENTS OF PRETERM INFANTS FOR HOSPITAL DISCHARGE: A QUALITATIVE STUDY

Dear Dr. Hariati,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to Journal of Neonatal Nursing.

I have completed my evaluation of your manuscript. The reviewers recommend reconsideration of your manuscript following major revision. I invite you to resubmit your manuscript after addressing the comments below. Please resubmit your revised manuscript by Jul 22, 2021.

When revising your manuscript, please consider all issues mentioned in the reviewers' comments carefully; please outline every change made in response to their comments and provide suitable rebuttals for any comments not addressed. Please note that your revised submission may need to be re-reviewed.

To submit your revised manuscript, please log in as an author at <https://www.editorialmanager.com/jneo/>, and navigate to the "Submissions Needing Revision" folder.

Journal of Neonatal Nursing values your contribution and I look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.


Kind regards,

Leslie Atimer
Editor-in-Chief
Journal of Neonatal Nursing

Editor and Reviewer comments:

More information and support

FAQ: How do I revise my submission in Editorial Manager?
<https://service.elsevier.com/answers/detail/01284633/authorhub/submissions/>



RESPONSES TO REVIEWERS

Dear Reviewers

Thank you for your comments on our paper. We have attempted to address each one and believe the paper is stronger as a result.

Reviewer 1

Comment	Response
This is an important topic in every NICU. Great job addressing the need for early discharge preparation. care for discharge preparation and readiness.	Thank you for your valuable comment in this manuscript. We believe your comment will enhance the manuscript for readers.
I suggest looking into family-centered	We have added about FCC in discussion

Reviewer 2

Comment	Response
The focus of the study was worthy of investigation. However, the manuscript would be strengthened if the following areas (below) were addressed.	Thank you for your valuable comment in this manuscript. We believe your comment will enhance the manuscript for readers.

It is not clear what kind of interviews were conducted - semi-structured or in-depth?	We have been clear it in participant and setting
The second and the third theme sound very vague.	We have revised the second and the third theme
Language and many grammatical issues throughout the manuscript; proof reading is needed.	Thank you for your suggestion
Page 2, second paragraph: unclear meanings - what is 'systematic review research'? What is 'calm environment'? 'Uncoordinated program'? "Uneven" competencies?	We have reworded the unclear meaning
How is the 'limited medical equipment' related to discharge teaching?	'limited medical equipment just one of barrier the Indonesian neonatal service, not really have direct relationship with discharge teaching We have deleted this comment
Section 2.1. Design: sentences are too wordy and unclear. This study does not 'provide a framework'	We have revised and tried to make a good sentences
Page 3-4: grammatical issues in hospitals' and participants descriptions. What is 'basic NICU training'? What was the total number of nurses interviewed?	Thank you for your valuable input. We have revised the sentences for readers.
Section 2.3: What were the interview questions?	We have revised the focus of interview question have been explained in section 2.3
An example of meaningful statements/coding/themes would be helpful (i.e., in small table format)	We have added meaningful statement/coding in table 2
Section 3. Results: Themes/subthemes should be supported by richer and expanded quotes from interviews. Analysis sounds very superficial as one sentence does not provide the meaning of nurses' experiences in preparing parents for discharge home.	We have added quotes in each subthemes
What is 'KMC discharge scale'?	KMC Discharge score
Page 9: What is the meaning of 'health education'?	Education for parents. We have revised the term
Unclear about educational level of nurses: AD or BSN?	We have added in Table 1

Page 10: OGT - abbreviation should be expanded	We have expanded the OGT abbreviation
What is the mothers' physiological' and 'psychological' response? Demeanor?	We meant the stress response of the mother and their behaviour in daily baby care, We have revised the themes
Discussion and interpretation of the results could be presented better	We have revised the discussion